

Evidence briefing

Social prescribing: the natural environment

Introduction

This briefing helps set out what the evidence currently tells us about the natural environment and health and wellbeing.

It summarises the key findings from a rapid evidence review, commissioned by NHS England, and delivered by NASP's academic collaborative.

The methodology for the rapid evidence review, a thematic overview of the results, discussion of the reliability of the data, and future recommendations can all be found in more detail here, alongside other rapid evidence reviews completed in this series.

Headlines from the rapid evidence review

Evidence confirms that:

Time spent in nature is linked to a range of positive mental and physical health outcomes such as:

- Reduced blood pressure, reduced stress levels and associated symptoms, lower levels of cardiovascular and respiratory problems, and reduced risk of diabetes, obesity, and COVID-19. [10, 15, 16, 23, 37, 39]
- Increased wellbeing, including subjective wellbeing, reduced social isolation, happiness, and resilience wellbeing. [22, 38, 49, 53, 54,55, 64] A decrease in PTSD symptoms and ADHD (when offered alongside therapeutic and mindfulness activities). [36]

Nature-based social prescribing interventions can positively impact on happiness and wellbeing. This can be by reducing social isolation and developing connection to nature, particularly in people likely to be experiencing health inequalities.^[35, 50]

Both contact and connection with nature play a role in improving health and wellbeing outcomes.^[75]

More research is needed in this area, as most of the evidence currently available looks at the links between spending time in nature and health outcomes rather than nature based social prescribing, and explores contact rather than contact and connection with nature.

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic there has been a large increase in the demand for outdoor activity. [12, 34,35,50]

What the evidence tells us about the links between the natural environment and health and wellbeing

Evidence consistently shows a positive relationship between spending time in nature or exposure to nature and good health and wellbeing.

- Living or working close to nature can lead to many physical and mental health benefits, such as lower levels of heart or respiratory problems, lower blood pressure, lower levels of stress and physical symptoms of stress, lowered risk of diabetes and obesity, COVID-19, slower cognitive decline. [10, 15, 16, 23, 37, 39]
- Mental health benefits reported include increased mental wellbeing, increased mindfulness, happiness, resilience, and subjective wellbeing. [22, 38, 49, 53, 54,55, 64] Reduced symptoms of PTSD and ADHD were found when nature activities happened alongside therapeutic and mindfulness activities. [36]

There are different ways this relationship may work, for example nature may inspire positive emotions, and reduce negative thoughts; it may help to renew attention and decrease mental fatigue; or it may be that people have an innate ability to respond emotionally to nature. [65-67]

The health impact of nature contact/ time spent in nature may be different to that of connection to nature, and both may be needed to optimise outcomes. For example, contact with nature can be more strongly linked to general health outcomes, whereas nature connectedness can be more strongly linked to subjective well-being.^[76]

The evidence base is still relatively small for the impact of blue spaces such as rivers, lakes, or the sea, on mental wellbeing or physical health. However, evidence suggests that wellbeing is improved by spending time in blue space, and this is related to better cardiovascular health, too.^[43,47,48]

• As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic there has been a large increase in the demand for outdoor activity. [12,34,35,50]

The strength of evidence on the benefits of taking part in nature based activities supported the inclusion of Green Social Prescribing as part of the NHS Long Term Plan to improve mental health outcomes and reduce health inequalities, to reduce demand on the NHS, and to make green social activities sustainable and accessible, particularly to communities likely to be experiencing health inequalities.^[68]

What the evidence tells us about the links between nature based social prescribing and health and wellbeing

- Nature-based social prescriptions can benefit long term health and wellbeing, particularly life satisfaction and happiness. [12,34,35,50]
- Nature based social prescribing interventions can connect people to the wider community, increase feeling of connection to nature and help to increase social connectedness, in turn this can increase feelings of happiness and wellbeing. [50]
 - As an example, one study in the review found that social prescriptions for community-based gardening within hospitals, care homes, hospices and third sector organisations reported improved health and wellbeing of participants^[35]
- There is a clear need for more research to tell us about the impact of different referral pathways to green social prescribing^[71-73] and some is currently ongoing.^[74]

The role of natural environments in improving health and wellbeing among populations likely to be experiencing health inequalities.

More research is needed to better understand how nature based social prescribing can reduce health inequalities among disadvantaged or marginalised communities. However, evidence suggests that people being referred to social prescribing tend to report that they value green and blue space and understand their benefits.^[7] There is still a lack of representation in the evidence from audiences likely to be experiencing inequalities, due to access restrictions or other barriers outlined in this review. Greater outreach initiatives appear to be enablers for wider engagement.

- People with severe mental ill-health: There is a large amount of evidence for the positive impact of green space on severe mental ill-health, although there is less for blue space. Alongside talking therapy and a range of holistic interventions, nature walks can help individuals with severe mental ill-health connect, be active, notice and be mindful. These can also help to prevent relapse and can increase peoples' belief in their ability to achieve goals. Museums on prescription using green spaces were found to positively impact the wellbeing of mental health service users, who experienced increased feelings of connection to nature, and wellbeing. [24,33, 39]
- People who are lonely or socially isolated: Social prescription activities based in nature can improve social connection and feelings of belonging, particularly when social prescriptions work alongside community organisations such as local farms or community gardens. These activities can promote nature contact, strengthen social connection, and improve longer term mental and physical health through developing personal skills, relationships with others and interaction with nature. [31,50,58]

- People with dementia or confusion and/ or memory loss: Most studies have found a positive association with brain health and exposure to green space. [3,6,8,38]
- Caregivers facing mental exhaustion: there is some, evidence that caregiver burden and stress can be relieved through natural environment interventions, but there is need for more research in this area. [26]
- Cancer patients: fitness and fatigue symptoms were improved by nature-based activities. [9]
- Socioeconomic status: People on lower incomes, with fewer educational qualifications, who are unemployed, or living in the most deprived areas are least likely to visit natural spaces. [63] For example, 44% of people living in poverty in the UK visit green space regularly, compared to 70% of people living in households earning £50,000 or above. Similarly, 45% of adults in England living in areas ranked as most deprived had visited a natural space in the last 14 days, compared to 68% of adults in the least deprived areas. [63]

What the evidence tells us about barriers to, and enablers of, social prescribing pathways

- Link workers have identified that 'Green Health Partnerships' were useful for providing community-based interventions for peoples with long term conditions. [34] The enablers for these were identified as the health, local authority, social care and third sector organisations working together through these partnerships. It was felt that these should work alongside Green Social Prescribing services to help to reach target populations, such as those with mental health needs. [34]
- Possible barriers that have been identified for 'nature on prescription' include: participants' past experiences in, or access to, nature, racism and disenfranchisement that may be experienced by Black, Asian and ethnically diverse communities, and the costs that may be incurred by participants.^[54] Enablers include a diversity of settings and activities that can be used to build inclusion, 'buy-in' from the communities served by the activities, and flexible referral pathways which allow participants to refer themselves.^[54]

How reliable is this evidence?

The evidence base for social prescribing is relatively new and emerging. It also varies in terms of how well it was designed, and how well factors such as participation, or changes in health and wellbeing were measured. The same issues are encountered for nature based social prescribing.

The quality of the studies included in this review was checked using a standard methodology, involving an assessment of how well the studies were carried out according to agreed standards.

Most of the studies included in the systematic reviews we included reported positive relationships between exposure to nature and health and wellbeing. However the design of these studies means that is not possible to conclude anything about causality of these relationships (i.e. we cannot say yet whether nature based interventions cause the health benefits.) Longer term research studies are needed to check the role of other factors, such as the effect of sociodemographic factors, on these relationships.

The development and standardisation of better evaluation tools would enable third sector organisations to calculate and understand the impact of nature based social prescribing initiatives.

Evidence informed implications for social prescribing planning, delivery and research

- Improve working partnerships (alongside multi-disciplinary partnerships) between local organisations, social and health care bodies and those providing referral pathways to enable greater access to green social prescribing.
- Increase representation from groups likely to be experiencing health inequalities, due to access restrictions and other barriers. Outreach may benefit people who are not currently accessing nature based prescriptions.
- The development of better evaluation tools would enable third sector organisations to understand and report on the impact of nature based social prescribing initiatives.
- More 'non nature based' venues (such as museums) with outdoor spaces could consider integrating programmes of indoor and outdoor activities.
- More nature based social prescribing should be designed to reflect that both contact and connection with nature improve health and wellbeing outcomes.

References

- 1. Aliyas, Z. (2021). Physical, mental, and physiological health benefits of green and blue outdoor spaces among elderly people. International journal of environmental health research, 31(6), 703-714.
- 2. Astell-Burt, T., Feng, X., & Kolt, G. S. (2014). Greener neighbourhoods, slimmer people? Evidence from 246 920 Australians. International journal of obesity, 38(1), 156-159.
- 3. Besser, L. (2021). Outdoor green space exposure and brain health measures related to Alzheimer's disease: a rapid review. BMJ open, 11(5), e043456.

- 4. de Keijzer, C., Tonne, C., Sabia, S., Basagaña, X., Valentín, A., Singh-Manoux, A., ... & Dadvand, P. (2019). Green and blue spaces and physical functioning in older adults: Longitudinal analyses of the Whitehall II study. Environment international, 122, 346-356.
- 5. De la Fuente, F., Saldías, M. A., Cubillos, C., Mery, G., Carvajal, D., Bowen, M., & Bertoglia, M. P. (2021). Green space exposure association with type 2 diabetes mellitus, physical activity, and obesity: a systematic review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(1), 97.
- 6. Lakhani, A., Norwood, M., Watling, D. P., Zeeman, H., & Kendall, E. (2019). Using the natural environment to address the psychosocial impact of neurological disability: A systematic review. Health & place, 55, 188-201.
- 7. Lynch, M., Spencer, L. H., & Tudor Edwards, R. (2020). A systematic review exploring the economic valuation of accessing and using green and blue spaces to improve public health. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(11), 4142.
- 8. Mmako, N. J., Courtney-Pratt, H., & Marsh, P. (2020). Green spaces, dementia and a meaningful life in the community: a mixed studies review. Health & Place, 63, 102344. and Courtney-Pratt H and Marsh P
- 9. Morris, S. L., Newhouse, I., Larocque, T., Gillis, K. J., Smith, L., & Nisbet, E. K. (2021). Becoming one with nature: a nature intervention for individuals living with cancer participating in a ten-week group exercise and wellness program. International Journal of Exercise Science, 14(3), 498.
- 10. O'Callaghan-Gordo, Cristina, Ana Espinosa, Antonia Valentin, Cathryn Tonne, Beatriz Pérez-Gómez, Gemma Castaño-Vinyals, Trinidad Dierssen-Sotos et al. "Green spaces, excess weight and obesity in Spain." International journal of hygiene and environmental health 223, no. 1 (2020): 45-55.
- 11. Paul, L. A., Hystad, P., Burnett, R. T., Kwong, J. C., Crouse, D. L., van Donkelaar, A., ... & Chen, H. (2020). Urban green space and the risks of dementia and stroke. Environmental Research, 186, 109520.
- 12. Pretty, J., & Barton, J. (2020). Nature-based interventions and mind-body interventions: Saving public health costs whilst increasing life satisfaction and happiness. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(21), 7769.
- 13. Rigolon, A., Browning, M. H., McAnirlin, O., & Yoon, H. V. (2021). Green space and health equity: a systematic review on the potential of green

- space to reduce health disparities. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(5), 2563.
- 14. Rogerson, M., Wood, C., Pretty, J., Schoenmakers, P., Bloomfield, D., & Barton, J. (2020). Regular doses of nature: The efficacy of green exercise interventions for mental wellbeing. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(5), 1526.
- 15. Teixeira, A., Gabriel, R., Quaresma, L., Alencoão, A., Martinho, J., & Moreira, H. (2021). Obesity and natural spaces in adults and older people: a systematic review. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(6), 714-727.
- 16. Yang, L., Chan, K. L., Yuen, J. W., Wong, F. K., Han, L., Ho, H. C., ... & Wong, M. S. (2021). Effects of Urban Green Space on Cardiovascular and Respiratory Biomarkers in Chinese Adults: Panel Study Using Digital Tracking Devices. JMIR cardio, 5(2), e31316.
- 17. Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., & Zhai, J. (2021). Home Garden with Eco-healing Functions Benefiting Mental Health and Biodiversity During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Scoping Review. Frontiers in public health, 1692.
- 18. Aerts, R., Vanlessen, N., Dujardin, S., Nemery, B., Van Nieuwenhuyse, A., Bauwelinck, M., ... & Nawrot, T. S. (2022). Residential green space and mental health-related prescription medication sales: An ecological study in Belgium. Environmental Research, 113056.
- 19. Astell-Burt, T., Mitchell, R., & Hartig, T. (2014). The association between green space and mental health varies across the lifecourse. A longitudinal study. J Epidemiol Community Health, 68(6), 578-583.
- 20. Corazon, S. S., Sidenius, U., Vammen, K. S., Klinker, S. E., Stigsdotter, U. K., & Poulsen, D. V. (2018). The tree is my anchor: A pilot study on the treatment of BED through nature-based therapy. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15(11), 2486.
- 21. Dzhambov, A. M., Lercher, P., Browning, M. H., Stoyanov, D., Petrova, N., Novakov, S., & Dimitrova, D. D. (2021). Does greenery experienced indoors and outdoors provide an escape and support mental health during the COVID-19 quarantine?. Environmental Research, 196, 110420.
- 22. Houlden, V., Weich, S., Porto de Albuquerque, J., Jarvis, S., & Rees, K. (2018). The relationship between greenspace and the mental wellbeing of adults: A systematic review. PloS one, 13(9), e0203000.
- 23. Hubbard, G., Daas, C. D., Johnston, M., Murchie, P., Thompson, C. W., & Dixon, D. (2021). Are rurality, area deprivation, access to outside space, and green space associated with mental health during the covid-19

- pandemic? A cross sectional study (charis-e). International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(8), 3869.
- 24. Hubbard, G., Thompson, C. W., Locke, R., Jenkins, D., Munoz, S. A., Van Woerden, H., ... & Gorely, T. (2020). Co-production of "nature walks for wellbeing" public health intervention for people with severe mental illness: use of theory and practical know-how. BMC Public Health, 20(1), 1-12.
- 25. Lackey, N. Q., Tysor, D. A., McNay, G. D., Joyner, L., Baker, K. H., & Hodge, C. (2021). Mental health benefits of nature-based recreation: a systematic review. Annals of Leisure Research, 24(3), 379-393.
- 26. Lehto, R. H., Wyatt, G., Sender, J., & Miller, S. E. (2021). An Evaluation of Natural Environment Interventions for Informal Cancer Caregivers in the Community. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(21), 11124.
- 27. Robinson, J. M., Brindley, P., Cameron, R., MacCarthy, D., & Jorgensen, A. (2021). Nature's role in supporting health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A geospatial and socioecological study. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(5), 2227.
- 28. Trøstrup, C. H., Christiansen, A. B., Stølen, K. S., Nielsen, P. K., & Stelter, R. (2019). The effect of nature exposure on the mental health of patients: A systematic review. Quality of Life Research, 28(7), 1695-1703.
- 29. Wendelboe-Nelson, C., Kelly, S., Kennedy, M., & Cherrie, J. W. (2019). A scoping review mapping research on green space and associated mental health benefits. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(12), 2081.
- 30. Wilkie, S., & Davinson, N. (2021). Prevalence and effectiveness of nature-based interventions to impact adult health-related behaviours and outcomes: A scoping review. Landscape and Urban Planning, 214, 104166.
- 31. Xie, Q., Lee, C., Lu, Z., & Yuan, X. (2021). Interactions with artificial water features: a scoping review of health-related outcomes. Landscape and Urban Planning, 215, 104191.
- 32. Zhang, R., Zhang, C. Q., & Rhodes, R. E. (2021). The pathways linking objectively-measured greenspace exposure and mental health: A systematic review of observational studies. Environmental Research, 198, 111233.
- 33. Thomson, L. J., Morse, N., Elsden, E., & Chatterjee, H. J. (2020). Art, nature and mental health: assessing the biopsychosocial effects of a

- 'creative green prescription' museum programme involving horticulture, artmaking and collections. Perspectives in public health, 140(5), 277-285.
- 34. McHale, S., Pearsons, A., Neubeck, L., & Hanson, C. L. (2020). Green Health Partnerships in Scotland; Pathways for social prescribing and physical activity referral. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(18), 6832.
- 35. Howarth, M., Brettle, A., Hardman, M., & Maden, M. (2020). What is the evidence for the impact of gardens and gardening on health and well-being: a scoping review and evidence-based logic model to guide healthcare strategy decision making on the use of gardening approaches as a social prescription. BMJ open, 10(7), e036923.
- 36. Chaudhury, P., & Banerjee, D. (2020). "Recovering with nature": A review of ecotherapy and implications for the COVID-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Public Health, 888.
- 37. Cheng, X., Liu, J., Liu, H., & Lu, S. (2021). A systematic review of evidence of additional health benefits from forest exposure. Landscape and Urban Planning, 212, 104123.
- 38. de Keijzer, C., Bauwelinck, M., & Dadvand, P. (2020). Long-term exposure to residential greenspace and healthy ageing: A systematic review. Current environmental health reports, 7(1), 65-88.
- 39. Geneshka, M., Coventry, P., Cruz, J., & Gilbody, S. (2021). Relationship between Green and Blue Spaces with Mental and Physical Health: A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Observational Studies. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(17), 9010.
- 40. Kolokotsa, D., Lilli, A. A., Lilli, M. A., & Nikolaidis, N. P. (2020). On the impact of nature-based solutions on citizens' health & well being. Energy and Buildings, 229, 110527.
- 41. Kondo, M. C., Jacoby, S. F., & South, E. C. (2018). Does spending time outdoors reduce stress? A review of real-time stress response to outdoor environments. Health & place, 51, 136-150.
- 42. Thomsen, J. M., Powell, R. B., & Monz, C. (2018). A systematic review of the physical and mental health benefits of wildland recreation. Journal of Park and Recreation Administration, 36(1).
- 43. Vert, C., Gascon, M., Ranzani, O., Márquez, S., Triguero-Mas, M., Carrasco-Turigas, G.,& Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2020). Physical and mental health effects

- of repeated short walks in a blue space environment: A randomised crossover study. Environmental Research, 188, 109812.
- 44. Yao, W., Zhang, X., & Gong, Q. (2021). The effect of exposure to the natural environment on stress reduction: a meta-analysis. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 57, 126932.
- 45. Yen, H. Y., Chiu, H. L., & Huang, H. Y. (2021). Green and blue physical activity for quality of life: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized control trials. Landscape and Urban Planning, 212, 104093.
- 46. Jimenez MP and DeVille NV and Elliott EG and Schiff JE and Wilt GE and Hart JE and James P
- 47. Jimenez, M. P., DeVille, N. V., Elliott, E. G., Schiff, J. E., Wilt, G. E., Hart, J. E., & James, P. (2021). Associations between nature exposure and health: A review of the evidence. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(9), 4790.
- 48. Britton, E., Kindermann, G., Domegan, C., & Carlin, C. (2020). Blue care: A systematic review of blue space interventions for health and wellbeing. Health Promotion International, 35(1), 50-69.
- 49. Barbaro, N., & Pickett, S. M. (2016). Mindfully green: Examining the effect of connectedness to nature on the relationship between mindfulness and engagement in pro-environmental behavior. Personality and Individual Differences, 93, 137-142.
- 50. Leavell, M. A., Leiferman, J. A., Gascon, M., Braddick, F., Gonzalez, J. C., & Litt, J. S. (2019). Nature-based social prescribing in urban settings to improve social connectedness and mental well-being: a review. Current Environmental Health Reports, 6(4), 297-308.
- 51. Pritchard, A., Richardson, M., Sheffield, D., & McEwan, K. (2020). The relationship between nature connectedness and eudaimonic well-being: A meta-analysis. Journal of Happiness Studies, 21(3), 1145-1167.
- 52. Preuß, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Marquez, S., Cirach, M., Dadvand, P., Triguero-Mas, M., ... & Zijlema, W. (2019). Low childhood nature exposure is associated with worse mental health in adulthood. International journal of environmental research and public health, 16(10), 1809.
- 53. The Wildlife Trusts (2022) 30 Days Wild five year summary review. Can be accessed at: https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/30-days-wild-5-year-review
- 54. University of Exeter (2021) A Handbook for Nature on Prescription to Promote Mental Health. Can be accessed at:

https://www.ecehh.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/A-Handbook-for-Nature-on-Prescription-to-Promote-Mental-Health_FINAL.pdf

55. The Wildlife Trusts (2022) A Wilder recovery: How to build back smarter, stronger, greener. Can be accessed at:

https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/sites/default/files/2021-05/Green%20Recovery%20report%20%28low%20res%29.pdf

- 56. Wicks et al (2022) Feasibility trial of 'Flourish', a 9-week green exercise intervention for women with low mood residing in Colchester
- 57. Wood et al (2022) The effectiveness of prescribing community gardening to reduce loneliness and improve wellbeing in individuals with mental health problems.
- 58. Atkins et al (2021) Out of Bounds: equity in access to urban nature. Can be accessed at: https://www.groundwork.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Out-of-Bounds-equity-in-access-to-urban-nature.pdf
- 59. Rogerson et al (2017) The health and wellbeing impacts of volunteering with The Wildlife Trusts. Can be accessed at:

 https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/sites/default/files/2018-05/r3_the_health_and_wellbeing_impacts_of_volunteering_with_the_wildlife_trusts_-_university_of_essex_report_3_0.pdf
- 60. Bagnall et al (2017) Social Return on Investment analysis of the health and wellbeing impacts of Wildlife Trust programmes. Can be accessed at: https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/sites/default/files/2019-09/SROI%20Report%20FINAL%20-%20DIGITAL.pdf
- 61. The Wildlife Trusts (2022) A Natural Health Service. Can be accessed at: https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/blog/dom-higgins/natural-health-service
- 62. The Wildlife Trusts (2021) Wellbeing with Nature Evaluation Report. Can be accessed at:

 https://www.tnlcommunityfund.org.uk/media/insights/documents/Wellbei
 ng-with-Nature-Evaluation-Report_-June-2021_-10271074 1.pdf?mtime=20210708104603&focal=none
- 63. Armstrong et al (2021) Why society needs nature. Can be accessed at: https://cdn.forestresearch.gov.uk/2021/04/why_society_needs_nature_4fuc2gt.pdf

- 64. Sundstrom (2022) Why Outdoors. Can be accessed at: https://www.wehearyou.org.uk/_files/46/whyoutdoors-evaluation-v5-compressed.pdf
- 65. Ulrich, R. S., Simons, R. F., Losito, B. D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M. A., & Zelson, M. (1991). Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. Journal of environmental psychology, 11(3), 201-230.
- 66. Ohly, H., White, M. P., Wheeler, B. W., Bethel, A., Ukoumunne, O. C., Nikolaou, V., & Garside, R. (2016). Attention Restoration Theory: A systematic review of the attention restoration potential of exposure to natural environments. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B, 19(7), 305-343.
- 67. Ulrich, R. S. (1993). Biophilia, biophobia, and natural landscapes. The biophilia hypothesis, 7, 73-137.
- 68. NHS England (2022) Green Social Prescribing. Can be accessed at: https://www.england.nhs.uk/personalisedcare/social-prescribing/green-social-prescribing/
- 69. Tyndall, J. AACODS Checklist. Flinders University, 2010. Can be accessed at: http://dspace.flinders.edu.au/dspace/
- 70. Maxwell, L., Santesso, N., Tugwell, P. S., Wells, G. A., Judd, M., & Buchbinder, R. (2006). Method guidelines for Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group systematic reviews. The Journal of rheumatology, 33(11), 2304-2311
- 71. Therapeutic Nature: Nature-based social prescribing for diagnosed mental health conditions in the UK Defra (Defra Project Code BE0155) and are available from http://allcatsrgrey.org.uk/wp/download/public_health/social_prescribing/15138_therapeuticnature-finalreport-1.pdf
- 72. What Works briefing on natural environment-based health interventions. Accessed from https://www.outdoor-learning-research.org/Site-Admin/Research-Hub-Meetings/ArtMID/585/ArticleID/63/What-Works-briefing-on-natural-environment-based-health-interventions
- 73. Good practice in social prescribing for mental health: the role of nature-based interventions (NECR228) natural England. Accessed from http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5134438692814848#: http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5134438692814848#: http://example.com/states/england/20prescribing%20for%20mental%20health%3A,Access%20to%20the%20countryside%20-%20research%20and%20evidence">http://example.com/states/england/20prescribing%20for%20mental%20health%3A,Access%20to%20the%20countryside%20-%20research%20and%20evidence">http://example.com/states/england/20prescribing%20for%20mental%20health%3A,Access%20to%20the%20countryside%20-%20research%20and%20evidence

- 74. Defra Green Social Prescribing Evaluation, NIHR PenARC, Accessed from: https://arc-swp.nihr.ac.uk/research/projects/green-social-prescribing/
- 75. Natural England (2020) Monitor of engagement with the natural environment: Headline report and technical reports 2018 to 2019 Accessed at https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/monitor-of-engagement-with-the-natural-environment-headline-report-and-technical-reports-2018-to-2019